Case 4:07-cv-05944-JST Document 2728-6 Filed 08/01/14 Page 1 of 4

27

28

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

26

27

28

On August 1, 2014, Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs filed a Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlements with: Hitachi, Ltd.; Hitachi Displays, Ltd. (n/k/a Japan Display Inc.) ("Hitachi Displays"); Hitachi America, Ltd.; Hitachi Asia, Ltd.; Hitachi Electronic Devices (USA) Inc. (collectively, "Hitachi"); and Samsung SDI Co. Ltd. (f/k/a Samsung Display Devices Co., Ltd.); Samsung SDI America, Inc.; Samsung SDI Brasil, Ltd.; Tianjin Samsung SDI Co., Ltd.; Samsung Shenzhen SDI Co., Ltd.; SDI Malaysia Sdn. Bhd.; SDI Mexico S.A. de C.V. (collectively, "Samsung SDI"). The Court, having reviewed the motion, the settlement agreement between the Plaintiffs and Hitachi ("Hitachi Settlement Agreement") and the settlement agreement between the Plaintiffs and Samsung SDI ("Samsung SDI Settlement Agreement") (collectively the "Settlement Agreements"), the pleadings and other papers on file in this action, and the statements of counsel and the parties, hereby finds that the motion should be GRANTED.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation, and all actions within this litigation and over the parties to the Settlement Agreements, including all members of the Class and the Defendants.
- 2. For purposes of this Order, except as otherwise set forth herein, the Court adopts and incorporates the definitions contained in the Settlement Agreements.
- 3. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(g), Lead Counsel, previously appointed by the Court (Saveri & Saveri, Inc.), is appointed as counsel for the Class. Saveri & Saveri, Inc. has and will fairly and competently represent the interests of the Class.
- 4. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, the Court determines that the following settlement class be certified:

All persons and entities who, between March 1, 1995 and November 25, 2007, directly purchased a CRT Product in the United States from any defendant or subsidiary or affiliate thereof, or any co-conspirator. Excluded from the Class are defendants, their parent companies, subsidiaries and affiliates, any co-conspirator, all governmental entities, and any judges or justices assigned to hear any aspect of this action.

5. CRT Products refers to all forms of Cathode Ray Tubes, as well as to devices that contain CRTs. It includes CPTs, CDTs, monochrome display tubes, and the finished products that contain them—televisions and monitors.

- 6. The Court further finds that the prerequisites to a class action under Rule 23 are satisfied for settlement purposes in that: (a) there are hundreds of geographically dispersed class members, making joinder of all members impracticable; (b) there are questions of law and fact common to the class which predominate over individual issues; (c) the claims or defenses of the class plaintiffs are typical of the claims or defenses of the class; (d) the plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class, and have retained counsel experienced in antitrust class action litigation who have, and will continue to, adequately represent the class; and (e) a class action is superior to individual actions.
- 7. The Court hereby finally approves and confirms the settlements set forth in the Settlement Agreements and finds that said settlements are, in all respects, fair, reasonable and adequate to the Class pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 8. This Court hereby dismisses on the merits and with prejudice the Action in favor of Hitachi and in favor of Samsung SDI, with each party to bear their own costs and attorneys' fees.
- 9. The Hitachi Releasees and Samsung SDI Releasees are hereby and forever released and discharged with respect to any and all claims or causes of action which the Releasors had or have arising out of or related to any of the Released Claims as defined in the Settlement Agreements.
- 10. The notice given to the Class of the settlements was the best notice practicable under the circumstances, including individual notice to all members of the Class who could be identified through reasonable efforts. Said notice provided due and adequate notice of those proceedings and of the matters set forth therein, including the proposed settlements set forth in the Settlement Agreements, to all persons entitled to such notice, and said notice fully satisfied the requirements of Rules 23(c)(2) and 23(e)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the requirements of due process.
 - 11. The Plan of Allocation set forth in the Class notice is, in all respects, fair,

28